

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/719,201	11/21/2003	Manfred Ueberschar	VOI0281.US	2807	
7590 09/01/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Todd T. Taylor			EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE		
Taylor & Aust,	P.C.				
142 S. Main Str	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			1734		
Avilla, IN 467	710		DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- # \		·	W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/719,201	UEBERSCHAR ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Laura Edwards	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folioplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		a final raisation, which are	e in later In an
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		OWT NIHTIW C
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must t	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	had main as Abra de Ar e & Cilina e train	£	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			Decause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 50.017,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

> Laura Edwards **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 1734

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: apparatus claim 49 is deemed too broad. The combined teachings of Pallas et al and Knop is deemed obvious for reasons set forth in the previous office action and the proper motivation for the combination has been provided as required by <u>Graham v. Deere</u>. It is reasonable and within the purview of one skilled in the art to modify the Pallas et al apparatus, a one-sided coating apparatus to provide for two sided coating of a substrate as supported by Knop. The modification of the Pallas et al apparatus to effect one and/or two sided coating would not destroy it's primary function/operation to provide for a final adhesive coated corrugated product. Please note that claims 1-8 and 10-24 remain allowable.